

STANSTED - Second Runway Consultation

Freepost CL 4055
BAA Stansted
Chelmsford CM1 3BR

From: Church Langley Community Association
Harlow, Essex.

20th March 2006

Church Langley Community Association represent 3500 households on the development just completed over a period of say twelve years. Residents already suffer from the more outer fringe of 'blight' from incoming flights which concern many.

Roads: There is only one road route out of Church Langley (onto the A414) which becomes gridlocked very shortly after problems block the M11 because the A414 is the main alternative. This means that we are frequently marooned until the problems are cleared. Increased road traffic will only make the situation worse for potentially over 5,000 within Church Langley alone.

Water: Water pressure problems are supposed to be alleviated by our Water Tower near the M11 (our local landmark), but this is not always the case. Further problems are likely to increase if Stansted were to increase to the degree that BAA propose.

Railway: Road users who travel East West / West East and use level crossings already complain of the unacceptable present delays caused by waiting for several trains to pass before the queue of road traffic can fully clear. If the rail traffic (number of trains) increases then the road problems will also increase.

Environment: Some of the previously enjoyable countryside, say within seven miles of the present single runway, is no longer enjoyable due to the present frequency of aircraft, so it would become even less enjoyable over a greater area if BAA expand as they propose. Maybe the greatest example of the adverse effect to the environment could be the ancient Hatfield Forest which is no longer attractive to some because of the intrusion of flight noise from Stansted alone.

In brief Church Langley Community Association favour the passenger numbers to be limited strictly to the 25 million figure and the limited aircraft movements that already cause aggravation for many individuals remain strict throughout the day and MORE STRICT during the night (say 2300 to 0600).

Finally, CLCA consider BAA have presented their facts in a manner that hides the true deterioration in the quality of life - both human & environmental -

that will be inevitable for such a huge area of two counties if BAA's plans were to be permitted. We intend to strongly oppose a second runway.

Peter Scally - correspondent for Church Langley Community Assoc. Harlow
Home address: 76 Denby Grange Harlow CM17 9PZ (01279) 629949 20.3.06

